Comparative Politics Comprehensive Examination September 2015

Majors: Please answer three questions from at least two different sections.

Minors: Please answer two questions from two different sections.

In each essay, you should:

- Answer the question that is asked. Refine if necessary but do not expand.
- Discuss at least two theoretical accounts and at least two bodies of evidence as they relate to the theories (a "body of evidence" is a study or related set of studies that address a problem empirically).
- Include critical assessments of the theoretical and empirical literatures that you discuss.

Section I: Approaches

1. "Quantitative approaches are good at estimating relationships but they do not *explain* social phenomena." *Provide arguments in favor or against the proposition with illustrations from comparative politics theory and empirical research.*

Section II: Building Blocks

- How have coordination games been used to advance our understanding of issues in comparative politics?
 Evaluate the application of coordination games to at least two unrelated research questions.
- 3. What is an **institution** and what causes institutional change? *Feel free to focus on a particular type of institution.*

Section III: Political Structures

- 4. Where do strong states come from?
- 5. Do electoral rules matter?

Section IV: Outcomes I

6. Why do authoritarian regimes survive in some places but not others?

Section V: Outcomes II

7. Can greater transparency reduce corruption?

Section VI: Outcomes III

8. What accounts for variation across states in the amount of **redistribution** of risk and/or income within them? (*You can answer with respect to risk, or income, or both*)

Section VII: Wild Card [Note: this is an extra section which may be answered by majors or minors instead of any other section]

9. Why did Syriza call a referendum in the middle of the bailout negotiations? *Remember to use theory and evidence from the study of Comparaive Politics.*